DOI: 10.23977/aetp.2026.100111
ISSN 2371-9400 Vol. 10 Num. 1

Research on Instructional Design of Mathematical Theorems Based on ACT-R Theory—Taking the Fundamental Theorem of Spatial Vectors as an Example

Ying Zhang, Zhen Li

School of Mathematical Sciences, University of Jinan, Jinan, 250022, Shandong, China

Keywords: ACT-R Theory, Spatial Vectors; Mathematical Theorem Teaching; Instructional Design; Cognitive Development

Abstract: This study develops an ACT-R theory-based instructional design for the fundamental theorem of spatial vectors, addressing three dilemmas: abstract spatial concept comprehension, superficial theorem understanding, and fragmented cognitive structure. Through four cognitive stages (declarative, procedural, automated, reflective feedback), it designs hierarchical teaching strategies. The design helps students construct a systematic cognitive system of vector theorems, and provides a replicable framework for applying cognitive psychology to mathematical theorem teaching, guiding master's students in education to conduct research-based instructional design.

1. Teaching Objectives

1.1 Teaching Purposes

This case has the following teaching applications:

Provide a practical example of guiding practice with theory: This case demonstrates how to organically combine cognitive psychology theory (ACT-R) with mathematics teaching content, providing a operable template for master's students in education to transform abstract teaching theory into specific teaching practice, helping them understand the practical application value of cognitive theory in instructional design.

Innovate the teaching mode of mathematical theorems: Break through the traditional one-way teaching mode of "definition - proof - example", and demonstrate phased instructional design based on cognitive rules, providing new ideas and methods for the teaching of theorem-related content.

Optimize the design of teaching process: Provide a clear four-stage and eight-link teaching framework, demonstrating how to reduce learning difficulty through goal decomposition, and guiding master's students in education to design teaching activities that conform to cognitive rules.

Promote professional development: As a typical case, it demonstrates the instructional design ideas under the guidance of theory, provides examples of teaching reflection and professional growth, and promotes the renewal of teaching concepts of master's students in education.

1.2 Target Learners

This case is mainly developed for master's students in education. It is suitable for postgraduate students majoring in subject teaching (mathematics), and can also be used in related courses for master's students in education majoring in subject teaching (mathematics).

1.3 Applicable Courses

Research on Middle School Mathematics Curriculum and Textbooks, Research on Instructional Design and Implementation of Middle School Mathematics, Research on Mathematics Education Reform

2. Inspirational Thinking Questions

To promote in-depth understanding and transfer application of this case, the following thinking questions can be put forward:

2.1 In-depth Analysis of Theory Application

ACT-R theory emphasizes the phased characteristics of cognitive processes. In the teaching of the fundamental theorem of spatial vectors in this case, does the design of the four stages (declarative, procedural, automated, reflective feedback) truly reflect the essential laws of cognitive development? Teachers can combine with specific teaching links to analyze:

How does the transition design between each stage promote the deepening of students' understanding of the theorem?

In actual teaching, must these stages be carried out in strict order? Can they be flexibly adjusted according to students' situation?

2.2 Optimization Space of Instructional Design

The case adopts the analogy and transfer strategy from plane vectors to spatial vectors:

To what extent can this analogy effectively promote students' understanding of the fundamental theorem of spatial vectors? What cognitive misunderstandings may exist?

In addition to video scenario introduction, what more in-depth scenarios can be designed to stimulate students' desire to explore? Teachers can put forward specific plans combined with modern educational technology.

2.3 Discussion on the Effectiveness of Evaluation System

The case adopts the SOLO classification theory to construct a five-level evaluation system[1]:

Can this hierarchical evaluation method accurately reflect students' mastery of the fundamental theorem of spatial vectors?

In the implementation process, how to ensure that the evaluation results can be truly and effectively fed back to teaching improvement? Teachers can design a plan including evaluation, diagnosis, and improvement.

2.4 Integration and Innovation of Theory and Practice

ACT-R theory originates from cognitive psychology, and its application in mathematical theorem teaching:

What enlightenment does this interdisciplinary application of theory bring to mathematics teaching research?

Based on the experience of this case, how to creatively apply ACT-R theory to the teaching of other mathematics content (such as function concepts, geometric proofs, etc.)? Teachers can briefly describe an instructional design idea.

These questions aim to guide master's students in education: to deeply understand the cognitive basis of instructional design from the theoretical level, cultivate the ability to critically examine teaching cases, stimulate the awareness of teaching innovation based on theory, and improve the comprehensive literacy of transforming educational theory into practical plans. Each question closely focuses on the core elements of the case, not only examining the understanding of theory but also emphasizing practical application, which meets the training objectives of master's students in education.

3. Analysis Ideas

3.1 Starting Point: Accurately Diagnosed Core Teaching Problems (Core Teaching Problems)

The case starts from three typical dilemmas in the teaching of the "fundamental theorem of spatial vectors":

Problem 1 (Abstract spatial concept): Students have difficulty constructing three-dimensional spatial representations in their minds, and there are obstacles in understanding the core condition of "three non-coplanar vectors".

Problem 2 (Superficial understanding of the theorem): Students often stay at the level of memorizing the content of the theorem, and cannot deeply understand its essence, resulting in a serious disconnection between knowledge and application.

Problem 3 (Fragmented cognitive structure): The newly learned fundamental theorem of spatial vectors is isolated from existing knowledge such as the fundamental theorem of plane vectors and the collinear theorem of vectors, failing to form a networked knowledge structure.

These three problems together constitute the "difficulties" that the case needs to solve.

3.2 Bridge: ACT-R Theory as the Core of the Solution

The innovation of the case lies in that it introduces the cognitive theory of ACT-R (Adaptive Control of Thought-Rational) as the "brain" of top-level design. ACT-R theory divides knowledge acquisition into four stages, which exactly correspond to the above three core problems systematically[2]:

Declarative stage: Targets Problem 1 (abstract concept) and Problem 3 (isolated knowledge). In this stage, through the review of the "fundamental theorem of plane vectors" and the video scenario of "tank shooting down airplanes", students' existing declarative knowledge (information chunks) is activated, and this is used as an "anchoring point" to introduce new declarative knowledge—the content of the fundamental theorem of spatial vectors, promoting the connection between old and new knowledge.

Procedural stage: Targets Problem 2 (disconnection between knowledge and application). Through "exquisite practice" (from Example 1 to Example 4), students are guided to compile declarative theorem knowledge into "if...then..." production rules, so that they know how to apply the theorem to solve specific problems.

Automated stage: Deeply solves Problem 2. By summarizing the connections and differences between the three fundamental vector theorems (collinear, plane, spatial), students are prompted to quickly and accurately identify and call the corresponding production rules in different mathematical scenarios, realizing conditional application and automation of knowledge.

Reflective feedback stage: Targets Problem 3 (fragmented structure). Through drawing mind maps and evaluation based on SOLO classification theory, students are guided to reflect on the metacognitive level of the learned knowledge, thereby integrating scattered knowledge points into a structured knowledge system.

3.3 Carrier: Development of Specific Teaching Strategies

Under the guidance of ACT-R theory, the case transforms the four cognitive stages into four interlocking teaching strategies:

Strategy 1: Scenario creation and analogy transfer (serving the declarative stage)

Practice: Introduce by analogy from the fundamental theorem of plane vectors; teachers can use the video of "tank shooting down airplanes" to create a three-dimensional space problem scenario.

Logical relationship: Analogy is a bridge connecting old and new declarative knowledge; real scenarios provide specific representations for abstract concepts, directly assisting students in constructing mental representations and overcoming the difficulty of spatial imagination.

Strategy 2: Goal decomposition and hierarchical progression (running through all stages)

Practice: Decompose the overall goal of "mastering the fundamental theorem of spatial vectors" into sub-goals such as selection of the number of bases, selection of positions, vector decomposition, and uniqueness proof.

Logical relationship: This reflects the core idea of ACT-R theory of "simplifying complex knowledge". Through hierarchical goal decomposition, complex cognitive tasks are disassembled into a series of simple cognitive steps, enabling students to gradually complete knowledge construction and greatly optimizing cognitive load.

Strategy 3: Exquisite practice and variant training (serving the procedural and automated stages)

Practice: Design gradient examples from "judging whether it can be used as a base" to "proving line-plane parallelism in a parallelepiped".

Logical relationship: "Exquisite practice" is not a sea of exercises, but high-quality, gradient, and in-depth practice. It helps students compile the theorem from declarative knowledge into procedural knowledge, and finally reach the proficiency of conditional identification and automated application in different variants.

Strategy 4: Metacognitive reflection and evaluation (serving the reflective feedback stage)

Practice: Let students draw mind maps at the end of the class; use SOLO classification theory for evaluation after class.

Logical relationship: Mind maps prompt students to conduct metacognitive reflection and sort out knowledge structures; SOLO classification evaluation is a scientific diagnosis of the level of students' cognitive structures. The combination of the two jointly promotes the process of students' knowledge systematization from points to lines and from lines to planes[3].

3.4 End Point: Achievement of Teaching Objectives and Cognitive Development

Through the above logically rigorous design, the case finally effectively realizes the upgrading of teaching objectives:

Students not only memorize the content of the theorem (declarative knowledge).

They also master the skills of applying the theorem to solve problems (procedural knowledge).

And they can automatically identify and flexibly call relevant knowledge and methods in complex scenarios (conditionalization and automation).

Finally, they construct a hierarchical and structured cognitive system about the fundamental theorem of vectors (metacognitive development).

In summary, the analysis ideas of this case reveal the internal logic of an excellent theory-driven instructional design: it starts from a profound insight into real teaching problems, succeeds with a solid cognitive theory as the overall framework, and finally lands on a series of teaching activities that precisely correspond to cognitive stages, knowledge content, and teaching strategies. This is not only a lesson plan but also a model of "how to carry out refined instructional design based on learning science"[4].

4. Case Analysis

This case is an instructional design with a clear theoretical framework, rigorous design structure, and great demonstration value. Its greatest value lies in providing an operable model of guiding practice with theory. Master's students in education should learn not its fixed "four stages and eight links", but the design logic and core principles behind it. With these, they can creatively transfer the essence of ACT-R theory to the instructional design of more diverse mathematics content and even other disciplines.

It successfully transforms cognitive psychology theory into teaching practice, providing a vivid answer to "how learning science theory guides classroom teaching".

It performs excellently in systematicness, with the four stages closely linked to form a complete cognitive development chain.

It has high transferability: its analysis framework and extracted design principles can be widely applied to the teaching of various declarative knowledge-dominated content such as concepts, theorems, and formulas.

This case strongly proves that for future-oriented mathematics education, teachers should not only be subject experts but also "architects of the learning process". This means that master's students in education need to master the professional abilities of analyzing cognitive tasks, designing cognitive paths, and evaluating cognitive outcomes, so as to truly realize precise support for each student's learning process.

5. Summary of Key Points

This case provides profound enlightenment for the teaching of mathematical theorems:

The value embodiment of guiding practice with theory: ACT-R theory provides a scientific cognitive framework for mathematics teaching, transforming instructional design from experience-based to research-based. Master's students in education should actively learn cognitive psychology theory and use scientific theory to guide teaching practice.

The importance of respecting cognitive rules: Knowledge acquisition is indeed a gradual process from declarative to procedural, from unfamiliar to automated. Teaching must respect cognitive rules, not be eager for quick success, and give students enough time for cognitive development.

The design wisdom of structured teaching: Through hierarchical goal decomposition, complex cognitive tasks are transformed into operable series of steps. Excellent instructional design should have clear structured characteristics, helping students establish systematic cognitive paths.

The reform direction of evaluation leading teaching: The application of SOLO classification theory shifts evaluation from focusing on "right or wrong" to focusing on "thinking structure"[5]. The reform of teaching evaluation is a key lever to promote the improvement of teaching quality. Teachers are no longer simple transmitters of knowledge, but elaborate designers of students' learning process. The direction of teachers' professional development should deepen from "what to teach" to "how to promote learning".

In summary, the essence of this case lies in demonstrating how to transform cognitive science theory into specific and feasible teaching practice. It tells us that a good mathematics class is not only the transmission of knowledge but also the elaborate construction of cognitive structure. This concept of "designing teaching based on learning and teaching according to cognitive rules" is an inevitable requirement of mathematics teaching reform in the era of core literacy, providing a clear direction and path for the professional growth of master's students in education.

Acknowledgements

Construction of a Teaching Case Database for "Secondary School Mathematics Curriculum and Textbook Research" Based on the New Curriculum Reform. (SDYAL2025)

References

[1] Anderson, J. R., & Lebiere, C. The atomic components of thought[M]. Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, 1998.

[2] Biggs, J. B., & Collis, K. F. Evaluating the quality of learning: The SOLO taxonomy[M]. Academic Press, 1982.

[3] Ministry of Education of the People's Republic of China. General High School Mathematics Curriculum Standards (2017 Edition, Revised in 2020)[S]. Beijing: People's Education Press, 2020.

[4] Anderson, J. R., Fincham, J. M., & Douglass, S. The role of examples and rules in the acquisition of a cognitive skill[J]. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition, 1997, 23(4), 932-945.

[5] Wang, G. M., & Wei, Q. P. Research on the evaluation of high school mathematics learning quality based on SOLO classification theory[J]. Journal of Mathematics Education, 2017, 26(6), 65-69.