The Mutual Influence and Countermeasures of Intellectual Property Law and International Trade

DOI: 10.23977/law.2024.030422

ISSN 2616-2296 Vol. 3 Num. 4

Boya Xuan

Nanjing Audit University, Nanjing, Jiangsu, 211815, China 1979382974@qq.com

Keywords: Intellectual property law; international trade; countermeasures; Mutual influence

Abstract: The interaction between intellectual property (IP) law and international trade is increasingly prominent, which has a far-reaching impact on global economic development and international trade pattern. Through a comprehensive analysis of the relationship between IP law and international trade, this paper discusses its interaction mechanism, influencing factors and coping strategies. Firstly, the paper introduces the basic concepts and principles of IP law and international trade, and makes clear their importance and position in the global economic system. Secondly, the paper analyzes the promotion and restrictive factors of IP law on international trade, including technological innovation, promotion of added value of goods, IP infringement and so on. Then, the paper discusses the influence of international trade on IP law, including IP infringement, insufficient protection and the challenges of cross-border trade and digital age. Finally, in view of the above problems and challenges, the paper puts forward a series of countermeasures, including strengthening international cooperation and coordination, improving domestic laws and systems, promoting technological innovation and personnel training. Through this study, we can better understand the relationship between IP law and international trade, and provide theoretical support and practical guidance for promoting economic globalization and scientific and technological innovation.

1. Introduction

With the acceleration of globalization and the continuous promotion of scientific and technological progress, the relationship between intellectual property(IP) law and international trade is increasingly close. As an economic resource, IP is not only the product of scientific and technological innovation, but also an important part of enterprise competitiveness. As an important carrier of economic globalization, international trade provides a broader space for the cross-border protection and utilization of IP [1]. Therefore, it is of great significance to explore the interaction between IP law and international trade for promoting the process of economic globalization, promoting scientific and technological innovation and protecting IP [2].

The purpose of this paper is to systematically study and discuss the relationship between IP law and international trade. Firstly, the paper will introduce the basic concepts and principles of IP law and international trade, so as to establish the theoretical framework of the research. Then it deeply

analyzes the influence of IP law on international trade, including the promotion of IP protection on international trade and the possible restrictions and challenges. Finally, the paper puts forward some countermeasures against the current challenges and problems, including strengthening international cooperation and coordination, improving domestic laws and systems, promoting technological innovation and personnel training, so as to cope with the complex intertwined relationship between IP law and international trade. Through the research of this paper, we aim to provide theoretical reference and practical guidance for understanding and dealing with the interaction between IP law and international trade, and make contributions to promoting economic globalization and scientific and technological innovation.

2. Basic concepts and principles of IP law and international trade

IP refers to the legal protection of knowledge and information, aiming at encouraging innovation, promoting scientific and technological development and protecting creative achievements. IP mainly includes patents, trademarks, copyrights and trade secrets [3-4].

The right of interest is the legal protection of technological innovations such as new inventions, utility models and designs. Through patent authorization, innovators can enjoy the exclusive right to use their inventions within a certain period of time. Trademark right refers to the exclusive right to use a trademark, which is used to identify the source of goods or services and protect consumers' identification of products or services. Copyright is the legal protection of literary, artistic and scientific works, including written works, musical works, fine arts works, etc. Copyright protects the spiritual labor achievements of creators. Trade secrets refer to information with commercial value, such as technology and business information, which shall not be made public or disclosed without the permission of the obligee, otherwise it will constitute infringement.

International trade refers to the cross-border exchange of goods, services and capital [5]. The basic principles of international trade include free trade, trade balance, comparative advantage and the principle of reciprocity.

Free trade advocates that the trade between countries should be carried out freely and without obstacles, so as to realize the effective allocation of resources and mutual benefit and win-win between countries. The principle of trade balance means that imports and exports between countries should be roughly equal to avoid trade deficits or excessive surpluses. According to the theory of comparative advantage, countries should focus on the production and export of goods with their comparative advantages, so as to achieve the growth of production efficiency and international trade. The principle of reciprocity requires countries to respect each other and treat each other equally in international trade, and reach mutually beneficial trade arrangements through trade negotiation.

3. The interaction between IP law and international trade

3.1. The influence of IP law on international trade

IP protection provides legitimate interests for innovators and encourages enterprises to carry out technological innovation and R&D activities. At the same time, IP protection also promotes technology transfer, attracts foreign investors and technology holders to enter the market, and promotes the development of international trade. IP protection enables enterprises to produce high value-added products on the basis of innovation, which enhances the competitiveness and market position of products [6]. This will help enterprises to occupy a more favorable position in international trade and obtain higher market share and profits. IP protection enables multinational companies to enter emerging markets more confidently and invest in technology-intensive industries and the production of high value-added products. This helps multinational companies to

expand the scale of international trade and enhance the international competitiveness of enterprises [7].

Although IP protection plays a positive role in promoting international trade, it also faces some restrictions and challenges. Table 1 briefly summarizes the impact of IP law on international trade, including the promotion and possible restrictions and challenges.

Table 1: The influence of IP law on international trade

Influence aspect	describe
Promoting effect	Encourage innovation and technology transfer, enhance the added value of goods,
	and promote the development of multinational companies.
Limitations and	IP barriers, especially for developing countries and emerging economies. IP
challenges	infringement issues, such as piracy and cottage products. Balance between IP
	protection and public interest.

IP protection may become an obstacle in international trade, especially for developing countries and emerging economies, the high patent fees and technology licensing fees may become an obstacle for them to enter the international market. IP infringement is widespread in international trade, including piracy and cottage products. This not only harms the interests of IP holders, but also distorts the competition order in the international market and adversely affects international trade [8]. There is a balance between IP protection and public interests, especially in the fields of medicines and medical equipment. IP protection may make the price of related products too high, which affects the public's right to health and the right to acquire knowledge.

3.2. The influence of international trade on IP law

There are a lot of IP infringements in the international trade environment, including piracy, counterfeiting and counterfeiting. The convenience of cross-border trade and the development of digital technology make IP infringement more hidden and common, which seriously damages the legitimate rights and interests of IP holders. Some countries and regions have some problems in IP protection, such as imperfect legal system and lax law enforcement, which leads to an increase in the risk of IP infringement and infringement in cross-border trade. This situation not only affects the innovation enthusiasm of IP holders, but also distorts the level playing field in the international market.

With the development of cross-border trade and the digital age, international trade poses new challenges to IP law. Table 2 below concisely summarizes the impact of international trade on IP law, including infringement, insufficient protection and challenges in cross-border trade and the digital age.

Table 2: The influence of international trade on IP law

Influence aspect	describe
IP infringement problem	Including piracy, counterfeiting, counterfeiting and other infringements.
	The convenience of cross-border trade and the development of digital
	technology have aggravated the infringement phenomenon.
Insufficient IP protection	Some countries and regions have some problems in IP protection, such as
	imperfect legal system and lax law enforcement. It has affected the
	legitimate rights and interests of IP holders and the fairness of
	international market competition.
Cross-border trade and	IP boundaries become blurred, especially in digital content dissemination
challenges in the digital	and cross-border Internet trade. IP standardization and dispute resolution
age	mechanisms need to be constantly improved and updated.

In the era of cross-border trade and digitalization, the boundaries of IP have become more blurred, especially in the dissemination of digital content and cross-border Internet trade [9]. This

brings new difficulties and challenges to IP protection and law enforcement. IP standardization and dispute resolution mechanisms involved in international trade need to be constantly improved and updated to adapt to the development of new technologies and new formats. At the same time, the differences and disputes in IP protection standards among countries also need to be resolved through international cooperation and consultation.

4. Coping strategy

4.1. Strengthen international cooperation and coordination

Countries should strengthen the consensus on IP protection under the framework of multilateral organizations (such as the World Trade Organization) and promote the unification and standardization of the international IP legal system. Strengthen bilateral and regional cooperation, sign IP protection agreements and free trade agreements to jointly deal with IP infringement and insufficient protection. Law enforcement departments of various countries should strengthen information sharing and law enforcement cooperation, intensify the crackdown on cross-border IP infringement, and form a joint force of transnational cooperation to combat IP infringement. Judicial institutions in various countries should strengthen cooperation in transnational IP cases, promote the speedy trial and effective settlement of related cases, and safeguard the legitimate rights and interests of IP holders [10]. Countries should strengthen cooperation in organizations such as the International Organization for Standardization (ISO), promote the formulation of unified IP protection standards, and improve the level of IP protection in international trade. Establish an efficient IP dispute resolution mechanism to provide a professional, fast and effective way to solve IP disputes and promote the smooth progress of international trade.

4.2. Improve domestic laws and systems

To improve domestic laws and systems, countries should strengthen the formulation and revision of IP legal framework, establish a sound legal system, and protect the legitimate rights and interests of IP holders. The government should improve IP law enforcement agencies and procedures, increase the crackdown on IP infringement, and increase the cost and risk of infringement. It should strengthen the construction of IP law enforcement force and law enforcement technology, improve law enforcement efficiency and crackdown, and protect the legitimate rights and interests of IP holders. Additionally, the government should improve the IP judicial system, strengthen the trial and settlement of IP disputes, improve the level of judicial protection, and maintain the order and stability of the domestic market. It should also strengthen exchanges and cooperation with international organizations and other countries/regions, share experience and information, and jointly deal with transnational IP infringement. Moreover, the government should actively participate in international IP protection mechanisms, sign relevant international conventions and agreements, enhance the breadth and depth of international cooperation, and jointly safeguard the global IP order.

4.3. Promote technological innovation and personnel training.

The government should increase investment in technological innovation, support scientific research institutions and enterprises to carry out basic research and applied technology development, and enhance the country's innovation ability. The government should establish a sound policy system for technological innovation, including tax incentives, scientific and technological subsidies, and other policies and measures to encourage enterprises to increase investment in technological

innovation and innovation activities. Additionally, it should strengthen the education and training of IP-related majors in universities and training institutions, and improve the IP awareness and professional level of students and employees. The government and enterprises should jointly carry out IP talent training programs to provide systematic training and learning opportunities for professionals engaged in IP management, rights protection and innovation. The government aims to strengthen cooperation with international cooperation institutions and enterprises, jointly carrying out scientific and technological innovation projects and talent training plans, and enhance the international competitiveness of innovative talents. Furthermore, it encourages cross-border exchanges and cooperation of innovative talents, attracts international high-level talents to work and innovate in China, and promotes the sharing and flow of international innovative resources.

5. Conclusion

The interaction between IP law and international trade is increasingly apparent, showing a complicated situation of coexistence of promotion and restriction. Driven by IP protection, international trade can promote technological innovation and increase the added value of commodities, and multinational companies can conduct business with greater confidence, which provides new impetus for economic globalization. However, IP protection has also brought IP barriers, infringement and other issues, posing no small challenge to developing countries and emerging economies. At the same time, the rapid development of international trade and the advent of the digital age have also put forward new requirements and challenges to IP law, including fuzzy IP boundaries, standardization and dispute resolution. To this end, we should first strengthen international cooperation and coordination, promote the establishment of multilateral and bilateral cooperation mechanisms, and jointly deal with IP infringement and insufficient protection. Secondly, governments should improve domestic laws and systems, increase the enforcement of IP, and enhance the enforcement of IP protection. Furthermore, they should promote technological innovation and personnel training, increase support for technological innovation, train professional IP talents, and enhance the international competitiveness of innovative talents. Only through international cooperation and coordination, improving domestic laws and systems, promoting technological innovation and personnel training, can we better cope with the complex intertwined relationship between IP law and international trade, promote the process of economic globalization, promote scientific and technological innovation, and realize the benign interaction between IP protection and international trade.

References

- [1] Langdon, E., Capati, V. C., & Rodriguez, J. W. (2022). Intellectual property enforcement before the international trade commission. Intellectual property & technology law journal, 2022(6), 34.
- [2] Knox, Ida, & L. (2019). The supreme people's court annual report on intellectual property cases (2017) (china). Washington International Law Journal, 28(1), 157-159.
- [3] Sherzod, S. (2021). Technological neutrality and regulation of digital trade: how far can we go? European Journal of International Law, 2021(4), 4.
- [4] Mwakaje, S. J. (2022). Protection of geographical indications and cross-border trade: a survey of legal and regulatory frameworks in east africa. The Journal of World Intellectual Property, 25(1), 31-44.
- [5] Ting, L. (2020). Impact of sino-us trade agreement on chinese legal system about intellectual property rights. Journal of financial research, 4(2), 5.
- [6] Gagliani, G. (2021). Intellectual property-related local content requirements in international trade law: an evolving concept amid persisting questions. Global trade and customs journal, 2021(4), 16.
- [7] Harris, M. H., Len, G. D., Tawresey, G., & Ferzacca, D. N. (2022). The power of process patents at the international trade commission. Intellectual property & technology law journal, 2022(4), 34.
- [8] Gabriele, G. (2020). Cybersecurity, technological neutrality, and international trade law. Journal of International

Economic Law, 2020(3), 3.

[9] Liam, S. (2022). Intellectual property as a cornerstone of the world economy: enhancing or restricting trade within the european union's external trade policy? Journal of Intellectual Property Law and Practice, 2022(3), 3. [10] Davison, M., & Emerton, P. (2019). The treatment of public health measures affecting intellectual property under

multilateral and plurilateral trade and investment agreements. Journal of world investment and trade, 2019(5), 20.