Computing, Performance and Communication Systems (2026)
Clausius Scientific Press, Canada

DOI: 10.23977/cpcs.2026.100103
ISSN 2371-8889 Vol. 10 Num. 1

Logistics Behavior Analysis and Predictive Modeling Methods Based on Multimodal Data Fusion

Xuanmin Che

R&T Logistics Inc, 4882W 145th St Hawthorne, CA, 90250, America

Keywords: Logistics; Multimodal Data Fusion; Predictive Modeling; Machine Learning; Data Analytics; Optimization, Supply Chain

Abstract: This review paper provides a comprehensive overview of logistics behavior analysis and predictive modeling methods based on multimodal data fusion. The increasing complexity of modern logistics necessitates advanced analytical techniques to optimize operations, enhance efficiency, and improve decision-making. Multimodal data fusion, which integrates diverse data sources such as GPS tracking, sensor data, transaction records, and weather information, offers a powerful approach to understanding and predicting logistics behavior. This paper explores the historical evolution of logistics analytics, focusing on the shift from traditional statistical methods to contemporary machine learning techniques. Core themes examined include data acquisition and preprocessing, feature engineering for logistics applications, and the application of various predictive models such as regression models, time series analysis, and deep learning algorithms. A comparative analysis highlights the strengths and weaknesses of different modeling approaches, addressing challenges related to data heterogeneity, scalability, and real-time processing. Furthermore, the review identifies emerging trends and future research directions in multimodal logistics data analysis, including the integration of blockchain technology, the utilization of edge computing, and the development of explainable AI models. This paper aims to serve as a valuable resource for researchers and practitioners seeking to leverage multimodal data fusion for improved logistics management, offering insights into the state-of-the-art techniques and future opportunities in this rapidly evolving field.

1. Introduction

1.1 Motivation and Background

The modern logistics landscape is characterized by increasing complexity, driven by globalization, e-commerce growth, and evolving customer expectations. Managing intricate supply chains, optimizing delivery routes, and predicting potential disruptions require sophisticated analytical approaches. Traditional methods often fall short in capturing the multifaceted nature of logistics operations [1]. To address this challenge, advanced techniques like multimodal data fusion are gaining prominence. By integrating diverse data sources, such as GPS tracking data, weather information, and social media sentiment, a more comprehensive understanding of logistics behavior can be achieved. This fusion allows for more accurate predictive models and improved decision-making across the entire logistics ecosystem, where variables like x(demand) and t (time) are crucial [2].

1.2 Objectives and Scope

This review paper aims to provide a comprehensive analysis of logistics behavior and predictive modeling techniques, focusing on methodologies that leverage multimodal data fusion. Our primary objective is to synthesize existing research, identify key trends, and highlight the potential of multimodal data in improving the accuracy and robustness of logistics predictions. The scope encompasses a wide range of data modalities, including GPS data, sensor data, textual data, and economic indicators [3]. A key contribution is the categorization of predictive models based on the types of multimodal data they utilize. The paper is structured to first introduce the challenges in logistics behavior analysis, then delve into specific multimodal data fusion techniques, followed by a detailed review of predictive modeling methods. Finally, we discuss future research directions and potential applications.

1.3 Multimodal Data Sources in Logistics

Logistics generates diverse data. GPS tracks vehicle locations, while sensors monitor conditions like temperature and humidity. Transaction records capture order details, payment information, and delivery confirmations [4]. Fusing these modalities, where each modality is represented by a variable x_i, provides a holistic view.

2. Historical Overview of Logistics Analytics

2.1 Early Statistical Methods

Early logistics analytics heavily relied on traditional statistical methods. Regression analysis, particularly linear regression, was frequently employed to model relationships between logistics costs (y) and various influencing factors like distance (x_1), weight (x_2), and volume (x_3), represented as $y=\beta_0+\beta_1 x_1+\beta_2 x_2+\beta_3 x_3+\epsilon$. Time series forecasting, using techniques such as moving averages and exponential smoothing, was crucial for predicting future demand and optimizing inventory levels [5]. These methods, while limited by their assumptions of linearity and data stationarity, provided foundational insights into logistics operations and enabled initial attempts at data-driven decision-making. These approaches offered a starting point for understanding patterns and trends within logistics data. As shown in Table 1, these statistical methods gradually evolved alongside machine learning techniques in logistics analytics.

Table 1. Evolution of Statistical and ML Methods in Logistics

Method Category	Description	Example Application	Limitations
Traditional Statistical Methods	Relies on established statistical techniques for analysis and prediction.	Modeling logistics costs using regression analysis: $y=\beta_0+\beta_1 x_1+\beta_2 x_2+\beta_3 x_3+\epsilon$, where y is logistics cost,x_1 is distance,x_2 is weight, and x_3 is volume.	Assumes linearity and data stationarity. May not capture complex, non-linear relationships.
Time Series Forecasting	Utilizes historical data to predict future values over time.	Predicting future demand and optimizing inventory levels using moving averages and exponential smoothing.	Sensitive to outliers and may not perform well with significant changes in underlying patterns.

2.2 Evolution of Data-Driven Approaches

Initially, logistics relied heavily on experience-based intuition and simple statistical methods like regression analysis. These traditional approaches often struggled with the complexities of modern supply chains, characterized by high dimensionality and non-linear relationships. The emergence of data mining and machine learning marked a significant turning point [6]. Algorithms such as decision trees, support vector machines, and neural networks offered enhanced capabilities for pattern recognition and predictive modeling. This shift enabled the analysis of large datasets, uncovering hidden insights and improving forecasting accuracy for key logistics variables, such as demand (d), transportation costs (c), and delivery times (t). The focus moved towards leveraging data to optimize operations and gain a competitive advantage.

2.3 Multimodal Data Integration Emergence

The increasing complexity of modern logistics networks spurred the emergence of multimodal data integration. Traditional logistics analytics, reliant on single-source data like GPS or warehouse inventories, proved insufficient for holistic optimization. The need to understand the intricate relationships between various factors, such as weather (w), traffic (t), and customer demand (d), necessitated the fusion of diverse data streams [7]. This integration allows for a more comprehensive view of the supply chain, enabling better predictions and proactive decision-making. The ability to combine data from sensors, social media, and economic indicators offers unprecedented opportunities to improve efficiency, reduce costs, and enhance resilience in logistics operations.

3. Core Theme A: Data Acquisition and Preprocessing

3.1 Data Collection Techniques

Logistics data acquisition is paramount for effective analysis and predictive modeling. This section details the techniques employed to gather relevant information from diverse sources. Global Positioning System (GPS) devices are crucial for tracking the real-time location of vehicles and shipments [8]. The data collected includes latitude, longitude, altitude, speed (v), and timestamp (t), providing a comprehensive view of movement patterns. Radio-Frequency Identification (RFID) tags offer a means of identifying and tracking individual items throughout the supply chain. RFID readers capture unique identification codes, enabling precise monitoring of inventory levels and product flow. Furthermore, the proliferation of Internet of Things (IoT) sensors provides access to a wealth of environmental and operational data. These sensors can monitor temperature (T), humidity (H), pressure (P), and shock levels, ensuring the integrity of goods during transit. Data from these sources are often characterized by varying frequencies and formats, necessitating robust preprocessing techniques, which will be discussed in subsequent sections. The integration of these multimodal data streams provides a holistic understanding of logistics operations. As shown in Table 2, the integration of these multimodal data streams provides a holistic understanding of logistics operations.

Table 2. Comparison of Data Collection Methods

Data Collection Method	Data Provided	Key Benefits
Global Positioning System (GPS)	Latitude, Longitude, Altitude, Speed (v), Timestamp (t)	Real-time location tracking, movement pattern analysis, comprehensive view of movement.
Radio-Frequency Identification (RFID)	Unique identification codes	Precise inventory monitoring, product flow tracking, item-level visibility.
Internet of Things (IoT) Sensors	Temperature (T), Humidity (H), Pressure (P), Shock levels	Environmental monitoring, integrity assurance of goods during transit, operational data access.

3.2 Data Cleaning and Transformation

Logistics data, inherently complex and gathered from diverse sources, often suffers from missing values, outliers, and inconsistencies. Addressing these issues is crucial for building reliable predictive models. Missing values can arise from sensor malfunctions, incomplete records, or data entry errors. Common imputation techniques include mean/median imputation for numerical data and mode imputation for categorical data. More sophisticated methods like k-Nearest Neighbors (k-NN) imputation or model-based imputation using regression algorithms can also be employed.

Outlier detection is essential to prevent skewed model training. Statistical methods like the Z-score and Interquartile Range (IQR) are effective for identifying outliers in univariate data. For multivariate data, techniques like Mahalanobis distance and clustering algorithms can be used. Outlier treatment strategies include removal, transformation (e.g., logarithmic transformation), or capping values at a predefined threshold [9].

Inconsistencies, such as conflicting units or illogical data combinations, require careful examination and correction. Data validation rules and domain expertise are vital in resolving these issues [10]. Furthermore, data normalization and standardization are crucial preprocessing steps. Normalization, often scaling data to a range between 0 and 1, can be achieved using Min-Max scaling: $x'=(x-x_{\min})/(x_{\max}-x_{\min})$. Standardization, on the other hand, transforms data to have a mean of 0 and a standard deviation of 1, using the formula: $x'=(x-\mu)/\sigma$, where μ is the mean and σ is the standard deviation. These transformations ensure that features with different scales contribute equally to the model, improving its performance and stability.

3.3 Feature Engineering for Logistics Applications

Feature engineering is crucial for transforming raw logistics data into informative features suitable for predictive modeling. This process involves extracting, selecting, and transforming variables to improve the performance of machine learning algorithms. In logistics, relevant features often revolve around time, distance, and route characteristics [11].

For instance, travel time, a key predictor of delivery delays, can be derived from timestamp data associated with vehicle location updates. This may involve calculating the difference between arrival and departure times at various checkpoints. Distance, another critical factor, can be computed using geographical coordinates (*latitude*, *longitude*) of origin and destination points. The Haversine formula, for example, can be used to calculate the great-circle distance between two points on a sphere, providing a more accurate estimate than Euclidean distance, especially for long-haul routes.

Furthermore, features related to delivery routes can be engineered. This includes the number of stops on a route, the sequence of delivery locations, and the total route length. Route complexity can be quantified using metrics like the number of turns or the density of intersections along the route. Categorical features, such as the type of goods being transported or the delivery vehicle type, can also be encoded into numerical representations using techniques like one-hot encoding to be incorporated into the models. These engineered features provide valuable insights into the underlying logistics processes and enhance the accuracy of predictive models.

3.4 Taxonomic Analysis of Fusion Strategies

The effectiveness of multimodal logistics modeling depends heavily on the fusion paradigm. Early Fusion (Feature-level), which concatenates raw features from GPS and sensors into a single vector, is suitable for highly correlated modalities but risks the 'curse of dimensionality.' Late Fusion (Decision-level), conversely, trains separate models for textual transaction data and numerical IoT streams, merging their outputs via weighted averaging or voting. This is more robust to modality-specific noise. Recently, Intermediate Fusion (Joint-level) utilizing Deep Neural Networks has emerged as the state-of-the-art, allowing the model to learn cross-modal correlations (e.g., the impact of extreme weather on specific route transit times) within hidden layers, providing superior predictive power for complex logistics behaviors [12].

4. Core Theme B: Predictive Modeling Methods

4.1 Regression Models

Regression models form a foundational component of predictive analytics in logistics, offering a versatile toolkit for estimating continuous outcome variables. Linear regression, in its simplest form, seeks to establish a linear relationship between a set of independent variables, denoted as $X=(x_1,x_2,...,x_n)$, and a dependent variable Y. The model assumes a relationship of the form $Y=\beta_0+\beta_1 x_1+\beta_2 x_2+...+\beta_n x_n+\epsilon$, where β_i represent the coefficients and ϵ represents the error term.

However, linear regression's inherent linearity may limit its effectiveness when modeling complex, non-linear relationships often encountered in logistics. Polynomial regression extends the linear model by introducing polynomial terms of the independent variables, enabling the capture of curvilinear relationships. For instance, a second-order polynomial regression model would include terms like x_i^2.

Beyond these, other regression techniques, such as support vector regression (SVR) and Gaussian process regression (GPR), offer alternative approaches for handling non-linearities and complex data structures. These methods can be particularly useful when dealing with high-dimensional multimodal data, allowing for more accurate predictions of logistics outcomes like delivery time, transportation costs, or warehouse throughput. The choice of regression model depends heavily on the specific characteristics of the data and the nature of the relationship being modeled.

4.2 Time Series Analysis

Time series analysis provides a powerful toolkit for forecasting demand and delivery times in logistics, leveraging historical data patterns to predict future trends. Autoregressive Integrated Moving Average (ARIMA) models are particularly useful, capturing the autocorrelation present in logistics data. ARIMA models require careful selection of parameters p, d, and q, representing the order of autoregression, integration (differencing), and moving average components, respectively. The appropriate values are often determined through analysis of autocorrelation and partial autocorrelation functions (ACF and PACF).

Exponential smoothing methods offer an alternative approach, especially suitable for data exhibiting trends and seasonality. These methods assign exponentially decreasing weights to past observations, allowing the model to adapt to recent changes. Variations like Holt-Winters' additive and multiplicative models can effectively capture both trend and seasonal components. The choice between additive and multiplicative models depends on whether the seasonal variations are constant or proportional to the level of the series.

Beyond ARIMA and exponential smoothing, other time series techniques such as state space models and vector autoregression (VAR) can be employed, particularly when dealing with multivariate time series data involving multiple interacting logistics variables. These advanced methods can capture complex dependencies and improve forecasting accuracy, but often require more computational resources and expertise. The selection of the most appropriate time series method depends on the characteristics of the data and the desired level of forecasting accuracy.

4.3 Deep Learning Algorithms

Deep learning algorithms offer powerful capabilities for tackling complex logistics prediction tasks by learning intricate patterns from multimodal data. Neural networks (NNs), with their ability to model non-linear relationships, can be employed to predict various logistics outcomes, such as demand forecasting or delivery time estimation. The input layer receives features extracted from the fused multimodal data, while the output layer provides the predicted value.

Recurrent neural networks (RNNs), particularly LSTMs and GRUs, are well-suited for handling sequential data inherent in logistics, such as order sequences or vehicle routes. These networks maintain a hidden state that captures temporal dependencies, allowing them to predict future events based on past observations. For instance, an LSTM network can predict future delivery delays based on historical traffic patterns and weather conditions.

Convolutional neural networks (CNNs), traditionally used for image processing, can also be adapted for logistics applications. By treating logistics data as a grid-like structure, CNNs can extract spatial features and identify patterns relevant to prediction. For example, a CNN can analyze a map of delivery locations to predict optimal routing strategies, where the input represents the spatial distribution of delivery points and the output represents the predicted route. The parameters of these models, denoted as θ, are learned by minimizing a loss function $L(\theta)$ using optimization algorithms like stochastic gradient descent.

To ground these theoretical capabilities in a real-world logistics context, consider the application of multimodal fusion in Cold Chain Integrity Prediction. By integrating real-time temperature and humidity streams (IoT) with historical traffic congestion patterns (GPS) and vehicle vibration levels (IMU sensors), deep learning models can anticipate spoilage risks far more effectively than single-source systems. While a traditional sensor-only model might only trigger an alarm when a threshold is breached, a multimodal approach captures the latent interaction between 'transit delays' and 'environmental fluctuations.' Recent empirical studies indicate that such integrated predictive frameworks can achieve up to a 12% reduction in Mean Absolute Error (MAE), providing a proactive rather than reactive risk mitigation strategy. As shown in Table 3, recent empirical studies indicate that such integrated predictive frameworks can achieve up to a 12% reduction in Mean Absolute Error (MAE), providing a proactive rather than reactive risk mitigation strategy.

Table 3. Performance Comparison: Multimodal Deep Learning vs. Traditional ML Models

Feature	Deep Learning Models (Multimodal)	Traditional Machine Learning Models
Data Requirements	Requires large datasets to capture cross-modal features effectively.	Performs well with smaller, structured datasets.
Feature Engineering	Automatic feature extraction; reduces the need for manual preprocessing.	Requires extensive manual feature engineering by domain experts.
Data Alignment	**High** (Requires precise temporal and spatial synchronization across modalities).	**Low** (More robust to asynchronous or missing modality data).
Model Complexity	High; capable of modeling non-linear, high-dimensional interactions.	Moderate; limited in capturing complex inter-modality dependencies.
Best Use Case	**Complex ETA prediction, Route optimization, Cold-chain monitoring.**	**Inventory counting, Simple demand forecasting, Classification.**
Interpretability	Often considered "black boxes"; requires XAI for operational trust.	Generally higher; decision logic is more transparent to users.
Black-box Risk	**Significant** (Requires SHAP/LIME to explain decision rationale).	**Minimal** (Logic follows statistical or branching rules).

5. Comparison of Methods and Challenges

5.1 Comparative Analysis of Modeling Approaches

Statistical methods, such as regression and time series analysis, offer interpretability and established theoretical foundations, proving useful when relationships are relatively linear and data is limited. Their weakness lies in handling complex, non-linear relationships inherent in multimodal logistics data. Machine learning techniques, including neural networks and support vector machines, excel at capturing these complexities and automatically extracting features from diverse data sources. However, they often lack interpretability, acting as "black boxes," and require substantial data for effective training. Performance varies across datasets; statistical methods may suffice for simple route optimization (R^2>0.8), while machine learning demonstrates superior accuracy in demand forecasting with multimodal inputs (RMSE<1000 units), particularly when dealing with high-dimensional data.

5.2 Challenges in Multimodal Data Fusion

Fusing multimodal logistics data presents significant challenges. Data heterogeneity, stemming from varying data types (e.g., text, images, numerical sensor readings) and formats, necessitates complex preprocessing and normalization techniques. Scalability becomes an issue as the volume of data, denoted as "V", and the number of modalities, represented by "M", increase, demanding efficient algorithms and infrastructure. Real-time processing, crucial for dynamic logistics optimization, requires low-latency fusion methods capable of handling high data velocity, "v", while maintaining accuracy. These representative challenges and their characteristics are summarized in Table 4. Addressing these challenges is paramount for realizing the full potential of multimodal data fusion in logistics.

Table 4. Common Data Integration Challenges

Challenge	Description	Implication
Data Heterogeneity	Diverse data types (text, images, numerical sensor readings) and formats.	Requires complex preprocessing and normalization techniques.
Scalability	Increasing data volume (V) and number of modalities (M).	Demands efficient algorithms and infrastructure for handling large datasets.
Real-time Processing	Need for low-latency fusion methods.	Crucial for dynamic logistics optimization requiring high data velocity (v) without sacrificing accuracy.

5.3 Explainability and Interpretability

In the modern logistics sector, interpretability is no longer a technical luxury but a prerequisite for operational trust and safety. While a multimodal deep learning model (e.g., a hybrid CNN-LSTM) might predict a delivery delay with high accuracy, a logistics manager must understand the "why" behind the prediction to take corrective action. For instance, is the predicted delay caused by temporary weather anomalies, or does it stem from chronic, inefficient loading behaviors at a specific hub?

To address this, techniques like SHAP (SHapley Additive exPlanations) and LIME (Local Interpretable Model-agnostic Explanations) are employed to decompose the model's output into "modality contributions." By quantifying how much each input—such as traffic density (x_i), social media sentiment, or IoT sensor telemetry—influences the final risk score, these tools provide localized explanations for individual predictions. Visualizing these feature importance scores allows decision-makers to identify the primary drivers of logistics outcomes, thereby enabling more targeted interventions and justifying the shift from human-only to machine-assisted decision-making.

6. Future Perspectives

6.1 Emerging Trends in Logistics Analytics

Future research should explore the synergistic potential of blockchain and edge computing within logistics analytics. Blockchain can enhance data security and transparency across supply chains, providing immutable records for tracking goods and transactions. Integrating this with multimodal data offers opportunities for improved provenance and authenticity verification. Edge computing, processing data closer to its source, can enable real-time decision-making and reduce latency, particularly beneficial for dynamic route optimization and predictive maintenance. Further investigation into federated learning techniques on edge devices could also address data privacy concerns while enabling collaborative model training across geographically dispersed logistics networks. The interplay between these technologies promises to unlock new efficiencies and resilience in future logistics operations, warranting significant research attention. The impact of a variable x on delivery times should also be considered.

6.2 Explainable AI in Logistics

Explainable AI (XAI) offers a promising avenue for enhancing transparency and trust in logistics decision-making. Future research should prioritize developing XAI models capable of elucidating the reasoning behind predictions derived from multimodal data. Techniques like SHAP values and LIME can be employed to identify the key features, such as weather patterns, traffic density (ρ), or warehouse inventory levels (I), that influence model outputs. Furthermore, visualizing the decision-making process through interpretable graphs or rule-based systems can improve user understanding. By providing clear explanations, XAI can empower logistics professionals to validate model predictions, identify potential biases, and ultimately make more informed and reliable decisions, leading to improved operational efficiency and risk management.

7. Conclusion

7.1 Summary of Key Findings

This review explored the landscape of logistics behavior analysis using multimodal data fusion. We highlighted the potential of integrating diverse data sources, such as GPS trajectories, sensor data, and textual information, to gain a comprehensive understanding of logistics operations. Predictive modeling techniques, including machine learning algorithms, were examined for their effectiveness in forecasting key logistics outcomes. The analysis revealed that fusing multimodal data significantly improves the accuracy and robustness of predictive models for logistics behavior, offering valuable insights for optimization and decision-making.

7.2 Concluding Remarks

Multimodal data fusion offers significant potential for revolutionizing logistics. By integrating diverse data sources, we gain a more comprehensive understanding of complex logistics behaviors. This enhanced insight enables more accurate predictive modeling and ultimately, improved efficiency and decision-making across the entire supply chain, impacting key metrics like cost and delivery_time.

References

[1] Y. Wang and M. Lv, "Innovation in Smart Logistics Decision-Making Driven by Multimodal Data Fusion," in 2025 6th International Conference on Management Science and Engineering Management (ICMSEM 2025), 2025, pp. 105-111.
[2] M. Pawłowski, A. Wróblewska, and S. Sysko-Romańczuk, "Effective techniques for multimodal data fusion: A comparative analysis," Sensors, vol. 23, no. 5, p. 2381, 2023.
[3] Y. Chen, "Path planning algorithm for logistics autonomous vehicles at Cainiao stations based on multi-sensor data fusion," PLoS One, vol. 20, no. 5, p. e0321257, 2025.
[4] Y. Sun, J. Shi, Y. Sun, D. He, Y. Zhao, and M. Qi, "Multimodal Data Fusion for Intelligent Assessment and Dynamic Forecasting in Agricultural Logistics Systems," in International Conference on Cloud and Network Computing, Singapore, 2025, pp. 79-93.
[5] M. I. Asborno, S. Hernandez, and T. Akter, "Multicommodity port throughput from truck GPS and lock performance data fusion," Maritime Economics & Logistics, vol. 22, no. 2, pp. 196-217, 2020.
[6] S. Kalisetty and P. Lakkarasu, "Deep Learning Frameworks for Multi-Modal Data Fusion in Retail Supply Chains: Enhancing Forecast Accuracy and Agility," American Journal of Analytics and Artificial Intelligence (ajaai) with ISSN 3067-283X, vol. 2, no. 1, 2024.
[7] R. A. Mohammed, A. Nadi, L. Tavasszy, and M. D. Bok, "Data fusion approach to identify distribution chain segments in freight shipment databases," Transportation Research Record, vol. 2677, no. 6, pp. 310-323, 2023.
[8] F. Zhao, C. Zhang, and B. Geng, "Deep multimodal data fusion," ACM computing surveys, vol. 56, no. 9, pp. 1-36, 2024.
[9] X. Zhao, Y. Yang, and F. Fang, "Multimodal perception and asynchronous pipeline collaboration for intelligent intra-logistics: a fusion-driven approach," in Ninth International Conference on Traffic Engineering and Transportation System (ICTETS 2025), 2026, vol. 14011, pp. 365-372.
[10] D. Lahat, T. Adali, and C. Jutten, "Multimodal data fusion: an overview of methods, challenges, and prospects," Proceedings of the IEEE, vol. 103, no. 9, pp. 1449-1477, 2015.
[11] N. Gaw, S. Yousefi, and M. R. Gahrooei, "Multimodal data fusion for systems improvement: A review," Handbook of Scholarly Publications from the Air Force Institute of Technology (AFIT), Volume 1, 2020, pp. 101-136, 2022.
[12] Y. Lu, "A multimodal deep reinforcement learning approach for IoT-driven adaptive scheduling and robustness optimization in global logistics networks," Scientific reports, vol. 15, no. 1, p. 25195, 2025.